

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,201	08/22/2006	Jacques Thomasset	2590-163	5564	
	7590 08/25/201 NDERHYE, PC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER			
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1782		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/25/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)						
10/590,201	THOMASSET, JACQUES						
Examiner	Art Unit						
WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH	1782						

	WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH	1782					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any serned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DTOL 004)				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imal, filed emendmen	ot concelled the				
non-allowable claim(s).		•					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	ntice of Anneal will not	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1782							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In regard to the 102 rejection of claims 1-4 and 7 as being anticipated by Sadr (USPN 6,467,643), Sadr teaches the claimed structure for the reasons of record. Both of the barrier layers 50 "form[] at least one zigzag-shaped double fold" because each layer has structure that is equivalent to that of at least two folds. See Fig. 4 of Sadr.

In regard to the 102 rejection of claims 1-7 and 13 as being anticipated by Short (USPN 6.613.408), Short teaches the claimed structure for the reasons of record. Barrier layer 32 "forms at least one zigzag-shaped double fold" because it has structure that is equivalent to that of more than two folds. See Fig. 3 of Short. Applicant provides a 2 as an example of a double fold on page 5 of the Response, but the claim language does not limit the "zigzag-shaped double fold" to the structure of what can be described as a perfect Z, as Applicant appears to suggest.